

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/017,027	IIZUKA, TOSHIAKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jonathan Ouellette	3629

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jonathan Ouellette. (3) _____.

(2) John Torrente. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 August 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All Independent.

Identification of prior art discussed: Saito.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner Ouellette and Mr. Torrente discussed the instant invention and the sited prior art. Mr. Torrente explained the newly amended subject matter, and how it overcomes the sited prior art. Examiner Ouellette agreed that the new amendments to the independent claims did overcome the sited prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jonathan Ouellette/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3629